

U.S.C. § 4241-4247 for reason of insanity and/or serious mental defect. Appellant asserts, Congress can now re-enact 18 U.S.C.3059(a), 3059A and 3059B to now reward innocent appellant for all this hard work just as he requested in his Nov 5<sup>th</sup>, 2002 Motion for Transcripts Exh V, Appellate Brief, 03-11467 Exh S, and S.Ct petition 02-10290 Exh T, etc. Appellant asserts, the government repealed these statutes because they didn't want to reward appellant at that time and unconditionally release him from the malicious imprisonment. If the government wants appellant to leave the nation after these corrupt statutes are re-enacted and he is rewarded, appellant will leave.

18 U.S.C. § 1951-1968. Racketeering in Corrupt Organizations (RICO).

Innocent appellant realizes the court knows he can't go into all the Racketeering statutes at this time. Appellant asserts, he has clearly shown this court and all other courts his constitutional and civil rights are being violated by a very corrupt "Pattern of racketeering activity" and "Racketeering enterprise activity" which can only be restrained by the federal government under 18 U.S.C 216 and 18 U.S.C § 1951-1968. If the courts can't do the correct thing then inform appellant so he can leave what he will now believe is lawless and corrupted society. If any corrupt America lawyer is appointed this simultaneously renounces appellant's citizenship in this nation and will depart from it.

TEX. TRANS. CODE§ 501.152. "Sale or offer without Title Receipt of Title. (a). Except as provided by this section, a person commits an offense if the person: (1). Sells, offers to sell, or offers as security for an obligation a motor vehicle registered in this state; and (2). Does not possess the title receipt or certificate of title for the vehicle".

Appellant sent a certified letter on October 17<sup>th</sup>, 2006 (plus attachments proving the letter), to the Police Chief Byran Smith here in Corpus Christi, TX. (See: Exh. P ). Appellant quoted this Texas Statute very clearly to the Police Chief concerning the robbery (by gunpoint) of three vehicles and the resale (without a title) by the pathetic racketeer Tom Taylor who owns Bahia Vista Trailer Park here in Corpus Christ, TX. Appellant asserts, for some reason noone at the Police Department seems to want

to follow their own criminal statutes. Appellant has never received a return letter from the Police Chief or anyone at the Police Department concerning the obvious robbery (by gunpoint) of appellants vehicles by Tom Taylor at Bahia Vista Trailer Park Oct 23, 2001. Appellant assert, Bahia Vista Trailer Park is where Frank Wirt's brother from Kansas City, MO kidnapped appellant by gunpoint and had corrupt Magistrate Jane Cooper Hill further kidnap appellant to Tampa Bay Fl, in November 2000. Appellant asserts, this is why he mentions Ellis R. Curry Esq, being involved as he never would file an emergency 2241 petition to the District or Supreme Court as this pathetic attorney was directly involved in the kidnapping (for money) with the judges and FBI. Appellant asserts, the only letter he received in return (retaliation) from the Police Chief was a letter from the DPS in Austin stating "Order of Suspension" of appellant's Driver's License if he didn't comply with the coercive demands.

TEX. TRANS. CODE. § 601.338 . Evidence of Financial Responsibility/Suspension of Driver's License and Vehicle Registration of Owner of Motor Vehicle."(a) The department shall suspend the driver's license and vehicle registrations of the owner of a motor vehicle that was used with the owner's consent by another person at the time of an offense resulting in conviction or plea of guilty, if under state law the department:(1) suspends or revokes the driver's license of the other person on receipt of a record of a conviction; or (2) suspends or revokes the driver's license of the other person of a record of plea of guilty.(b) The department may not suspend the driver's license and vehicle registration of an owner under this section if the owner files and maintains evidence of financial responsibility with the department for each motor vehicle registered in the name of the owner".

Appellant asserts, this is the main Texas Statute which exposes the racketeering enterprise perpetrators in Texas and across the nation as it proves a very severe, malicious, prejudicial and unconstitutional agenda against appellant. Appellant asserts, one of the main reasons for the malicious, unconstitutional, framing and arrest (kidnapping) in 2000 was this No Insurance/forged dated binder matter by Lorraine Guzmann that appellant pushed to a head by visiting the C.C.P.D. in Sept/Oct 2000. Appellant asserts, the crybaby, malicious, republican based racketeering enterprise perpetrators were so infuriated at appellant for exposing them, figuring out all their pathetic set ups and legally fighting their

non-statutory and unconstitutional traffic/court matters for so long that they had about enough of him. (Just like now in 2005/2006 so up pops the a new racketeering enterprise illegal entity "Group").

Appellant asserts, the pathetic, racketeering enterprise wasn't going to allow themselves or one of their co-conspirators to look bad (criminal) by (a). Having the co-conspirator lose their Driver's license and have to obtain a SR-22 because of the (hated) appellant. (b). Have that same co-conspirator (Guzmann) nailed criminally with THEIR forged insurance binder, since appellant decided to be honest and not allow this fraudulent/racketeering document as evidence to cover Lorraine Guzmann. (c ). The possibility that in given time, appellant might be able to figure out that TEX. TRANS. CODE § 601.338 (and other statutes) completely covered appellant and actually exposed the whole pathetic, racketeering enterprise scheme. Appellant asserts, this is why no answers have come by mail to appellant for either of the letters sent to the Police Chief or the DPS and others.

Appellant asserts, this is one of the reasons it took so long for the Beaumont Court to respond to appellant's petition as they were waiting to see what appellant was going to do about the non-statutory and unconstitutional warrants/fines on his Driver's License and how much probable cause he would justify as he tried to resolve each pending DPS issue and the stolen vehicles issues by Tom Taylor. Appellant asserts, this matter of not answering and not following the law and constitution both state and federal is not an educational issue with these corrupt people it is purely malicious and criminal. Furthermore, appellant asserts, this two Major Texas issues with Taylor and Guzmann are two reasons the pathetic, lying, racketeering enterprise perpetrators at Beaumont Federal Correctional Complex and Springfield Federal "Medical" Center continually sent appellant to the streets of Tampa homeless with very little funds. Appellant still got on his feet in Tampa Bay and when he did he was kidnapped twice.

Appellant asserts, he went through all the torture and hell of five years in Federal prison over a

fake charge of "harassing Phone call etc" for the cowardly, protected, criminal, racketeering enterprise activity of people like Tom Taylor et al and Lorraine Guzmann et al, and to hell if this racketeering enterprise is going to use Tom Taylor, Lorraine Guzmann, Mark Shaberg, Maury Wolfson (and son) and the rest and their racketeering enterprise illegal entity "Concrete GP(Group)LLC et al" this time. Appellant asserts, these pathetic criminals need to be locked up immediately. Appellant asserts, the FACTS and evidence are (again) undeniable and a racketeering investigator must be appointed by the U.S. Department of Justice to investigate its own corrupt Bureau of Justice/BOP/FBI etc, its new racketeering "Council of State Governments" and the horrible abuse and racketeering from 18 U.S.C. § 3059 and Public Laws 101-647 to again ruin appellant. Appellant asserts, this is the only lawyer he will speak with or he will leave the nation to Canada because of Human Rights violations.

18 U.S.C. § 3059(a), 3059B and 3059A. (Public Law 101-647).

Appellant asserts, in his November 5<sup>th</sup> 2002 Motion for transcripts etc (Exh V), February 2003 Interlocutory Appeal Brief 02-16452, March 2003 petition for writ of certiorari (Exh T), April 2003 Interlocutory Appeal Brief 03-11467 (Exh S), and August 15<sup>th</sup>, 2005, 28 U.S.C § 2241 petition for writ of habeas corpus he clearly explains a very seriously corrupt racketeering enterprise scheme which severely abuses these three reward statutes and public law. Appellant asserts, he clearly explained how the racketeering enterprise falsified a 1981 Dubuque Bank & Trust College Student loan promissory note and made it into a "racketeering monetary instrument" and "unlawful debt collection" according to 18 U.S.C. § 1956. Appellant asserts, this pathetic rewording of this monetary instrument gave the racketeering enterprise perpetrators a unlawful reward of \$50,000 and a blank check in which to launder millions of dollars (approx \$40,000,000) of Tax payer money just to destroy innocent appellant from 1991 until this day, and every court knows this is true. Appellant asserts, in the Congressional Reports of 1990, Public law 101-647 "Crime Control Act of 1990, Title I (3)-Correctional Options



Incentives Amendments” the court will see the following:

“ A state can meet this requirement if the aggregate awards for local projects equal or exceeds the pass through percentage. This amendment would alter that process and insure that LOCAL ENTITIES (either individually or as a GROUP) that are eligible for awards of \$50,000 OR MORE must receive that amount if they apply and are otherwise qualified. This amendment still envisions that the STATE authority should coordinate and submit a unified STATE PLAN and priorities. ”

Appellant asserts, the malicious Florida and Iowa “State Plans and local projects” in 1990/91 started with the covering of Mr. Pigge/John Adlemann et al (Dubuque County Schools) and Roger Gilchrist, (Glacier Water Company et al). Appellant asserts, the 1990/91 “local Individuals and entities” (FBI in FL&IA, Dubuque County, Tony Dunbar et al, Attorneys, Doctors/clinics, Crum&Foster/Aetna Ins, etc) created the racketeering enterprise LIE (maiming) of “Disabling Spinal stenosis/psychiatrist disorder” to cover all racketeering enterprise parties at that time and illegally settle with appellant for \$15,000 out of these same abused federal funds (Not Insurance). Appellant (scapegoat) asserts, he did not get a (maiming) back operation as the racketeering enterprise Doctors were pushing so the racketeering enterprise never did quite “settle” (incapacitate/maim appellant).

Appellant assert, the (stalking) racketeering enterprise took advantage of another situation through Riscorp Insurance Company, Sarasota, Florida (Scott paint left arm injury) in 1991/92. Appellant asserts, the federal racketeering enterprise legal entities (above) decided they were law unto themselves and had the authority to create a racketeering enterprise illegal entity (GROUP) hiding behind Riscorp Insurance employees. Appellant asserts, the pathetic racketeering enterprise idiots then actually created on paper the illegal entity (GROUP) “Risk\_Services/Risk\_Corp” and maliciously sued (planning on arrests/charging) innocent appellant in the State Court with an severely, defaming injunction completely destroying innocent appellants character. (Similar to what is happening now)

Appellant asserts, these five racketeering enterprise fools from Riscorp Insurance comprised the

initial, malicious, Illegal entity injunction, Sandra Bock, Tammy Martin, Roberta Clarke, Charles Greene and Shari Shepard and were a front for the rest of the FULL scale racketeering enterprise (18 U.S.C. § 1961(4)) . Appellant asserts, these five initial, malicious false accusers perjured themselves so terribly upon innocent appellant (under direction of racketeering enterprise heads James Handley et al/Tony Dunbar et al/Stuart Levine et al ) he went into a state of trauma, went from 175lbs to 150lbs in less than 3 weeks and was admitted to Manatee Memorial for erratic heartbeat in Sept 92 and Oct 93.

Appellant asserts, the first pathetic, perjuring affidavit signed by Sandra Bock et al for the racketeering enterprise illegal entity “permanent injunction” stated “Mr. WElderhold got into an altercation with a previous employer (Roger Gilchrist) and my family and I are fearful of are safety etc” Appellant asserts, this is the main pathetic connecting link with the rest of the racketeering enterprise legal entities maliciously defaming, framing, arresting, prosecuting and imprisoning innocent petitioner as a “abnormal, violent, assaulting, insane (senseless) schizophrenic etc”, ultimately turning innocent appellant into a scapegoat for the whole racketeering enterprise which continues until this very day and forward. Appellant asserts, proof of this is the FACT of the re-surfacing of the old federally funded racketeering enterprise illegal entity (Group) “Risk\_Services/Risk\_Corp et al” as “Concrete GP(Group) LLC et al”(Fed) in a desperate, malicious, pathetic lashing out at innocent appellant using the same old pathetic, stupid, tactics of 1992.

Appellant asserts, these fools are ill criminals. Appellant asserts, certain corrupt “Individuals” in the State of Texas government are abusing their power and “Have applied or have been otherwise qualified” and “Have made a “STATE PLAN” (rewards/awards) conspiring to kidnap and destroy innocent petitioner horribly abusing federal tax payer money even since 1999. Appellant asserts, why can't these rich, greedy racketeering enterprise corporations and entities like Durrill Properties et al, Bahia Vista Trailer Park et al, and Wolfson Furniture use their own funds to racketeer, defame, perjure,

kidnap and destroy appellant why do they unlawfully get the aid of hard working federal government tax payer money from Public Law 101-647 Title I (3) and the Bureau of Justice to destroy him.

Appellant asserts, just the racketeered \$40,000,000+ alone since 1991 could have paid for the up keep for several years of two or three Military facilities that were either shut down or unconstitutionally made into prisons out of corrupt (easily abused) Public Law 101-647 and 18 U.S.C. § 3059 reward statutes. Appellant asserts, these two or three Military facilities could have been used for Disaster Relief housing for the homeless from the "subsequent" hurricanes Katrina and Rita, but intelligence, common sense, and justice doesn't seem to prevail in government. Appellant asserts, there is no other case in this nations history with such severe racketeering enterprise, criminal activity and abuse on one humane being by so many sick, corrupt, government entities, individuals, and rich corporations etc. Innocent appellant wonders who else these corrupt people and agencies abused these Unites States reward Statutes and award Public Laws upon and requests this court have this matter investigated. Appellant asserts, he will leave the nation if the racketeering enterprise corruption in his pathetic "case" is not properly addressed, investigated, restrained and prosecuted.

### CONCLUSION

U.S. Constitution Article 3, Section 1, "The judicial power of the United States, shall be vested in one Supreme Court, and in such inferior Courts as the Congress may from time to time ordain and establish. The judges, both of the Supreme and inferior Courts, shall hold their offices during good behavior, and shall, at stated times, receive for their services, a compensation, which shall not be diminished during their continuance in office".

Appellant asserts, numerous prejudicial, abusive Judges who innocent petitioner had hearings and trials with in Florida since 1991 and Texas since 2000 have not held their office in good behavior.

Appellant asserts these racketeering enterprise judges have received racketeering payoffs above their state and federal government salaries while severely violating innocent appellants constitutional and civil rights and as well violating state and federal laws.

Constitution Article 4, Section 1, "Full Faith and credit shall be given in each State to the public Acts, Records, and Judicial Proceedings of every other State. And the Congress may by general Laws prescribe the manner in which such Acts, Records and Proceedings shall be proved and the effect thereof".

Appellant asserts, he has lost all faith in various government entities/agencies, Court Clerks and judges etc, because of the racketeering acts, falsification of records and prejudicial/abusive Judicial proceedings, orders etc. Innocent appellant has been so badly defamed, ruined and Civil Rights violated that he has lost all faith in state and federal government. Appellant will permanently renounce his citizenship in the United States if: (a) This petition is denied by the Appellate court or Supreme Court. (b) If the recommendation of the appellate court is for the District Court to send the 28 U.S.C. § 2241 petition to the Tampa Bay, Fl , Middle District. (c) Any lawyer is appointed ever again.

Constitutional Amendment, Article 1, " Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for redress of grievances"

Appellant asserts, his right to "freedom of speech" has been abridged since the year 2000 (See; pg 17 2241 petition). Appellant asserts, his right to peaceably petition the state and federal governments for redress of grievances has been abridged since 1992. (See; Illegal entity file 1992-4293CA // Letter to Kennerly at JQC Tallahassee Fl since 1993 // Letters to DPR Tallahassee FL 1993-1995 // Letters to U.S. Attorneys Office Tampa FL since 1993-1994 // Appellants Temp/Preliminary injunction filed- Tampa Bay FL 1995 // Letter to F.D.L.E. Tallahassee FL 1995 // Letter to Kearney Governor's legal office 1995 // Letter to Juliet Ehrlich Attorney Generals office 1996 // Letter to Congressman Miller's office Bradenton FL 1996 // Letters to U.S. Attorneys Office Tampa. FL 2000-2005 //Letters to Attorney General Ashcroft 2001-2004 // Letters to Congressman and Senators, Gramm – Sessions- Delay-Ortiz-Hatch and Hutchinson 2001-2003 // Letters to U.S. Department of Professional Responsibility 2002-2003 // Letter to Harley Lappin BOP Exh A 2241 petition 2005 // FOIA Mail Referral Center Exh L 2005 // Emails to the Dept of Justice since 2003 // Emails to DPS state of Texas



2003 Exh // Emails to Aransas Pass 2006 // Letter to Flatonia TX clerks 2006 // Letter to Chief of Police 2006 Exh P// Letters to State of Texas DPS 2006 Exh Q // Emails to Sen Hoyer and Landrou 2006 Exh X// All pro se motions, complaints, briefs and petitions filed in the courts since 1992.

Appellant asserts there were several other letters and complaints to various state and federal government agencies through the years to no avail.

Congressional Amendment, Article 5, "No person shall be held to answer for a capital or otherwise infamous crime, unless a present indictment of a Grand Jury, except in cases arising in land or naval forces, or in militia when in actual service in time of war or public danger; nor shall any person be subject to the same offense twice put in jeopardy of life and limb; nor be deprived of life liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor shall private property be taken for public use without just compensation.

Appellant asserts, all Warrants and Indictments since 1992 were malicious, without probable cause and unconstitutional. Innocent petitioner asserts, he should never have been subject to this unnecessary, malicious, defaming and cruel racketeering enterprise activity since 1989/90. Appellant asserts, asserts, the double jeopardy law was violated when: (1) The racketeering enterprise maliciously arrested innocent appellant November 28, 1992 for "violating the injunction" after he had the illegal entity injunction "Dropped" October 8<sup>th</sup>, 1992 (See; page 8, 2241 petition). (2) When Jerry Meisner stated "Your not out of the woods yet, the criminal contempt is still hovering over you," after the Not Guilty verdict by jury , May 17<sup>th</sup>, 1993 (See page 9, 2241 petition). (3) When the corrupt Florida Bar conspired with the racketeering enterprise in July 1993 to have the illegal entity injunction placed back on innocent appellant (See: Page 9). (4) When the racketeering enterprise SPD "Officers" conspired to maliciously arrest innocent appellant on December 30, 1994 after appellant had the illegal entity injunction dismissed (See: page 10-11, 2241 petition). (5) When the racketeering enterprise grafted 11+ more "VOP harassing phone call" allegations/charges into the SPD allegations/charge in December 1995 (See: page 11-13, 2241 petition). (6) When the racketeering enterprise maliciously indicted and arrested innocent appellant on "harassing phone call etc" allegations/charges in October 2000 (See: pg

18, 2241 petition). (7) When the unconstitutional 18 U.S.C. § 3059 Statutes were repealed Nov 2, 2002 (See: pg 21-23 , 2241 petition). (8) When Judge Kimberly Bonner dismissed the 11+ 94-6581MAVOP allegations/charges at arraignment Oct 10, 2003 (See: pg 23, 2241 petition). (9) When the racketeering enterprise maliciously arrested innocent appellant November 5 , 2003 claiming he harassed a racketeering enterprise attorney at Zenith Corporation attorney in California in July 2003 (See: 2241 petition). (10) When the racketeering enterprise maliciously arrested innocent appellant on October 7, 2004 claiming he did not speak to their unlicensed counselor in August 2004 (See: 2241 petition). (11) When the racketeering enterprise recreated the the old illegal entity Risk\_Services/Risk\_Corp under the new name "Concrete GP(Group) LLC ET AL" and now again maliciously defaming, framing, attempting to arrest (kidnap), charge and imprison innocent appellant because he again nailed the racketeering enterprise with criminal activity (See: this petition and all exhibits). Appellant asserts, this again proves 28 U.S.C. § 2241 about future restraints.

Appellant asserts, he has proven all through this petition and past pro se motions, briefs, complaints, briefs and petitions that he has been deprived of life, liberty and his property without due process of law. Appellant has never been compensated for his property that has been stolen, his small business that was destroyed by the racketeering enterprise and physical injuries either as a direct result of the racketeering enterprise or illegal activity to cover up injuries from accidents and not pay. Furthermore appellant is including records research document that shows that no arrests, charges or probations ever occurred since 1992, therefore all is proven as a racketeering enterprise lie and cover up (Exh Y).

Constitutional Amendment, Article 6, "In all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial, by an impartial jury of the state and district where in the crime shall have been committed, which district shall have been previously ascertained by law, and be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation; to be confronted with the witnesses against him; to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor, and to have the right for the Assistance of (Non-racketeering)counsel for his defense.

Innocent Appellant asserts, he had to force his way to a jury trial My 27<sup>th</sup>, 1993 with the Public Defenders Office actually justifying probable cause for the racketeering enterprise by using the maliciously prosecuting word "Annoying" at the trial. Appellant exposed this racketeering enterprise "moot issue" which covered pathetic, outrageous perjury for Charles Green et al. Appellant asserts, the Sarasota Herald ran a conspiring false article stating appellant was "found not guilty by a judge" when it was a jury. Appellant has continually mentioned/exposed this pathetic racketeering enterprise moot issue and LIE concerning "Not guilty by a judge" in every motion, brief and petition ever filed by appellant since 1993 including exposing the racketeering fraud by the Magistrate who on July 21, 2006 states appellant was "found guilty by a Judge (not a jury) January 17<sup>th</sup>, 2001". Innocent petitioner was also maliciously and unconstitutionally denied a jury trial on April 4, 1995 before the racketeering Sarasota County judge Preston Devillbiss. Appellant asserts, the people (jury) need to know about this.

Constitutional Amendment, Article 8,

"Excessive bail shall not be required nor excessive fines imposed, nor cruel and unusual punishments inflicted".

Innocent appellant asserts, he was illegally and unconstitutionally charged bail, and excessive bail or given no bail in 1992, 93,94,95,96, 2000, 2001, 2003 and 2004 (See: pg 8, 10-13,18 2241 petition and this petition). Appellant asserts, he was illegally and unconstitutionally fined in 1995, 2002 and 2006 (See: 2241 petition pg 11, 21 and Exh Q). Appellant asserts, he is being cruelly and unusually punished because:

- (a) A PHONE CALL was made to Mr. Pigge, the Dubuque County School Superintendent in Oct/Nov 1989, informing him he was going to be sued (See: 2241 petition pg 5).
- (b) Appellant was able to get the fraudulent, framing document (he ignorantly signed) out of Roger Gilchrist's hand in February 1990 (See: 2241 petition pg 5-6).
- (c ) Appellant started his own business in October 1990 with .70 cents and credit (See: Gold Dome

Savings, Sarasota, FL, 2241 petition pg 7)

- (d) Prejudicial, illegal and unconstitutional legislation was somehow enacted on November 29<sup>th</sup>, 1990 thereafter to maliciously frame and ruin appellant with racketeered "reward" money (See: 2241 petition pg 4-6).
- (e) Appellant will not bend to the will of a pathetic, mentally ill, cowardly, stupid, senseless, racketeering enterprise for over 16 years and now the pathetic (fed funded), mentally ill, cowardly, stupid, senseless, racketeering enterprise, illegal entity "Concrete GP(Group) LLC et al" (See: All past pro se complaints, motions, briefs, petitions, letter, emails, claims, requests, appeals etc to state and federal government and state, federal and Supreme Court since 1992).

Constitutional Amendment, Article 14, Section 1, "All persons born and naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and the State wherein they reside. No state shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any state deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws".

Appellant was born in the United States and is a citizen of the United States but appears will be renouncing his citizenship shortly. Appellant asserts, "Individuals, entities, corporations, associations, partnerships, and silly, sick racketeering "groups"etc, in Florida, Iowa, California and Texas have severely abused and racketeered from the unconstitutional 18 U.S.C. § 3059(a), 3059A and 3059B statutes and Public Law 101-647(See: 2241 petition pg 4, 6-23 and this whole Brief). Appellant asserts, these same statutes were repealed in 2002 because innocent appellants solved his own pathetic "case" Sept/Oct, 2002 and sent proof to the Tampa Bay, FL District Court by motion Nov 5<sup>th</sup>, 2002 (Exh V)

Appellant has clearly shown in this brief and Exhibits that the cowardly, mentally ill State of Texas employees cannot even follow their own Texas Statutes, or enforce their own statutes protecting innocent petitioner from criminal activity by others. Appellant asserts, the pathetic racketeering



enterprise, sick state of Texas "Individuals and entities" have even created a pathetic non-statutory, unconstitutional, dangerous, racketeering enterprise illegal entity named "Concrete GP (Group) LLC et al" using/abusing tax payer money from the "Bureau of Justice" just to defame, frame, commit perjury on and ruin innocent appellant. Appellant asserts, Texas Workforce documents (Exh I) are being used a racketeering monetary instruments under 18 U.S.C. § 1956 with the "Concrete GP LLC et al" illegal entity name on them and are cowardly and outrageously hiding behind a \$200.00-\$300.00 unemployment claim against Durrill Properties (Old Concrete Street LTD/Brewster Street Ice House).

Appellant asserts, he has never received a payroll check from a "Concrete GP(Group)LLC et al" and it would be another racketeering monetary instrument, money laundering, and more mail fraud if the racketeering enterprise sent one. Appellant asserts only worthless lazy, pathetic, schizophrenic, mentally disturbed, insane, lying, filthy, racketeering GROUP of Lawyers would perpetrate such senseless, violent, criminal, evil Godless acts on someone and be able to get away with it, this is why appellant is leaving this nation if the correct prosecution measures are not taken. Appellant asserts, he has been deprived of life, liberty, and property without due process of law which is clearly explained in all pro se complaints, motions, briefs, petitions and letters since 1992. Innocent, sane and stable appellant has tried and is trying to get the federal government to restrain and prosecute the racketeering enterprise perpetrators even within its own Agencies such as the extremely ill lawyers in its "Bureau of Justice" and "Department of Justice" and across the nation.

Volume 16, American Jurisprudence 177, "The general is that an unconstitutional statute though having the form and name of law, but is void, and ineffective for any purposes; since unconstitutionality dates from the time of its enactment and not merely from the date of the decision so branding it. An unconstitutional law, in legal contemplation, is as inoperative as if it had never been passed. Such a statute leaves the question that it purports to settle just as it would be had the statute not been enacted"...."Since an unconstitutional law is void, the general principles follow that it imposes no duties, confers no rights, creates no office, bestows no power or authority on anyone, affords no protection, and justifies no acts performed under it"

Appellant asserts, "individuals" in the states of Florida, Iowa, Missouri, Texas, California, D.C., and elsewhere helped enforce the prejudicial, unreasonable and unconstitutional 18 U.S.C. § 3059 laws which were secretly repealed because of appellants case October 2002 (Not Nov 2, 2002). Appellant asserts, this occurred because innocent appellant solved his own pathetic, malicious, unconstitutional "case" Sept/Oct 2002 and sent this proof to the racketeering Tampa Bay District Court by motion Nov 5<sup>th</sup>, 2002. Appellant has seen the year 2000 version and the 2001-2003 supplement version of the United States Codes published by "West Group" at a library.


Appellant has come to the conclusion the "2001-2003 supplement" volume and late Congressional Reports Volumes have been falsified with information claiming these three statutes have been in the works to be repealed since 2001. Appellant asserts, this is a complete lie and pathetic cover up by "West Group". Appellant asserts, "West Group" has a contract with the federal government and is covering for certain racketeering enterprise "Individuals" therefore West Group/Westlaw are now a part of appellants Corporate Disclosure statement.

Appellant asserts, the falsification of government records by "West Group/West Law" has done great harm to appellants case but it is obvious now that stupid lawyers/paralegals all over America are a part of the pathetic "Concrete GP(Group) LLC et al" and hate appellant and can't stand that he consistently stands for the truth and won't move. Appellant request this court use only the 1990 Congressional Reports Book and year 2000 Statutes Books to research these Public Laws and 18 U.S.C. § 3059 statutes in their unrepealed form. Appellant asserts, West Law is prejudicial and will not publish or post online any of appellant's well done legal work since 1992.

## RELIEF SOUGHT

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests the justices of this appellate court to consider this brief and his 28 U.S.C. § 2241 petition for writ of habeas corpus and grant appellant proper relief which he is entitled to under the U.S. Constitution and laws of this nation. Innocent petitioner respectfully requests the "convictions" of April 4<sup>th</sup>, 1995 (Misdemeanor) and August 15<sup>th</sup>, 2001 (Felonies) to be properly overturned and recommendation for dismissal of all false charges (psychiatrist allegations) against him. Appellant respectfully requests a proper racketeering investigator from the U.S. Inspector Generals Office, injunctive relief, prosecutions and a change of venue to the Western District of Texas.

I HEREBY CERTIFY, that the statements made in this 38 page petition are true and correct under penalty of perjury according to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, Dated and executed this 19<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2006.

  
Kevin A. Wiederhold, pro se  
1318 N. Mesquite #6  
Corpus Christi, TX. 78401

### CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

Appellant asserts, he had to exceed the page limits on this Appeal Brief which totals 39 pages including this Certificate of Compliance and Certificate of Service. Appellant asserts, he initially planned on a Brief under 30 pages but due to the fact appellant discovered more evidence in a letter from the local OSHA office with terminology "JAG (boj)" on the letter he was not able to adhere to the 30 page limit for this Appellate Brief. Appellant asserts, further study of Public Law 101-647 has shown that the Bureau of Justice is fraudulently and unconstitutionally funding the racketeering enterprise illegal entity "Concrete GP(Group) LLC et al"(See;Exh K).

Appellant asserts, he was near 30 pages before he found this new unconstitutional, racketeering enterprise information had to exceed the page limits on the Brief and the Exhibits (Record Excerpts) because of new information, therefore the well done Brief is now 39 pages instead of 30 pages, plus oversized exhibits. Appellant will be mailing the exhibits out shortly after the mailing of this Appellate Brief. Appellant was given a 14 day Extension of Time by Chris Duscan to file this Appellate Brief which gave him up to December 26<sup>th</sup>, 2006.

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, HEREBY CERTIFY, that a true and correct copy of this Appeal Brief was sent to Steve Morris et al, by U.S. Postal Service, postage prepaid this 19th day of December, 2006.



Kevin A. Wiederhold, pro se  
1318 N. Mesquite #6  
Corpus Christi, Texas. 78401

Copy To:  
Steve Morris et al  
Beaumont Federal Correctional Complex  
5830 Knauth Road (Medium)  
Beaumont, Texas. 77720



DR HECTOR P GARCIA MAIN POST O  
CORPUS CHRISTI, Texas  
784690703  
4879830409-0096

12/19/2006 (888)275-8777 12:03:12 PM

Sales Receipt

Product Description	Sale Unit Qty Price	Final Price
------------------------	------------------------	----------------

BEAUMONT TX 77720		\$2.31
First-Class		
8.40 oz.		

Issue PVI: \$2.31

NEW ORLEANS LA 70130	\$6.40
Priority Mail	
2 lb. 3.70 oz.	
Return Rcpt (Green Card)	\$1.85
Certified	\$2.40
Label #:	70062150000491322071

Issue PVI: \$10.65

Total: \$12.96

Paid by:

Cash \$20.00

Change Due: -\$7.04

Bill#:1000400207600

Clerk:05

All sales final on stamps and postage.  
Refunds for guaranteed services only.

Thank you for your business.

Customer Copy

U.S. Postal Service™  
CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT

(Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)

For delivery information visit our website at [www.usps.com](http://www.usps.com)

NEW ORLEANS LA 70130

Postage	\$6.40	0409	Postmark Here
Certified Fee	\$2.40	05	
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)	\$1.85		
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)	\$0.00		
Total Postage & Fees	\$10.65		12/19/2006

Sent To  
Clerks Court, U.S. Court of Appeals  
Street, Apt. No.,  
or PO Box No. 600 S. Maestri, Place  
City, State, ZIP+4  
New Orleans, LA 70130

See Reverse for Instructions

PS Form 3800, August 2006

1202 2E16 4000 0512 9002