

INMATE REQUEST TO STAFF CDFRM

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS

(Name and Title of Staff Member) <b>Officer Daniels/Counselor</b>	DATE: <b>05/18/05</b>
FROM: <b>Kevin A. Wiederhold</b>	REGISTER NO.: <b>89849-079</b>
WORK ASSIGNMENT: <b>Not Available</b>	UNIT: <b>QB 326</b>

SUBJECT: (Briefly state your question or concern and the solution you are requesting. Continue on back, if necessary. Your failure to be specific may result in no action being taken. If necessary, you will be interviewed in order to successfully respond to your request.)

On July 1st, 2003 I left this facility with approximately \$13.00 in Commissary money which was for work up to May 15, 2003. I did not receive nor was \$18.00-\$22.00 applied to my Commissary account on July 2, 2003 because I had exited the prison on July 1, 2003. This payment amount was for work performed between May 15, 2003 through June 15, 2003 at the paint shop under Officer Champaign. I did receive a gratuity allowance of approximately \$100.00-\$125.00 but this may have been considered the normal gratuity allowance upon release therefore I might still be due the \$18.00-\$22.00 ? If the normal gratuity allowance is less than \$125.00 then it would appear the \$18.00-\$22.00 was included in the gratuity allowance therefore not due me ? If this payment is due me, I do need it as soon as possible as I have no funds in my commissary account.

Thanks For Your Time,

*Kevin A. Wiederhold*

Kevin A. Wiederhold

(Do not write below this line)

DISPOSITION: *You need to speak with your work detail supervisor. Please come by my office to discuss this matter.*

You don't see it in writing but Daniels (minority) actually slandered me as "senseless" for making this cop-out. He/they (Mr. Greene et al) were infuriated because I was placing all the Unicorn Cop-Outs and by 5/26/05 he had probably heard about my May 19, 2005 letter to the BOP/Warden.

*→ 5/26/05*  
this is the date I received this cop out back

He then tried to put me to work with Mrs. Bell (Mr. Bell's wife) who was going to defame me on work reports and was already defaming me in other ways. Instead I got the job with Mr. Feather (See Ex J)

Signature Staff Member

Date

*Officer Daniels, Counselor QB*

*5-20-2005*

this is a fake date, he called me senseless on 5/26/05 lets make him take a polygraph.

Record Copy - File; Copy - Inmate  
(This form may be replicated via WP)

This form replaces BP-148.070 dated Oct 86

I worked at the laundry in 02-03 under Mr. BResardo who always gave me excellent marks Flanagain (minority) took over in 03 and by March/April 03 was destroying me with poor marks on work, then maliciously set up with the clinic and fired (see brief 03-11467)

I never missed a days work in a year there!

148.055 INMATE REQUEST TO STAFF CDFRM

98

S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS

TO: (Name and Title of Staff Member)	DATE:
Mr. Morris, Warden	05/20/05
FROM:	REGISTER NO.:
Kevin A. Wiederhold	89849-079
WORK ASSIGNMENT:	UNIT:
Not Available	QB 326

SUBJECT: (Briefly state your question or concern and the solution you are requesting. Continue on back, if necessary. Your failure to be specific may result in no action being taken. If necessary, you will be interviewed in order to successfully respond to your request.) Enclosed is the 11 page letter to the Director that I promised I would give to

you. I am requesting that I be sent to a camp so I can find work on the outside or allow me to work at Unicor until I am sent to a camp or released from here. It is imperative that the criminal activity that occurred in March 2003 and Springfield MO, in 2004 heading towards release does not happen again (See: 11 page letter to Director of BOP and briefs etc). The following "Program Statement 8120.02 (345.32(e) and 342.10(1))" should cause the Unit Team to help me accomplish this request.

"Hiring 345.32(e), Special needs such as Inmate Financial Responsibility assignment to assist in paying a significant financial obligation OR FOR RELEASE PREPARATION, the unit team may recommend an inmate for priority placement on the waiting list.

Such placement must be documented and include the reason for the exception." Also, 345.10(1) states: "It is the policy of the BOP to provide work to all inmates (including inmates with a disability (left hip/leg) who, with or without reasonable accommodations can perform the essential tasks of the work assignment) confined in the federal institution." (Do not write below this line) Sincerely,

DISPOSITION:

This cop out was given to the warden on 5/23/05 with the 11 page Lappin letter, cop out for records and the cop out addressed to Daniels, Helaire and Weeks (Ex I). No response as of yet from the warden, SIS or SOI?. As soon as I tried submitted the cop outs to obtain a job at Unicor all defamed me as "abnormal" and try to push a Social Security stunt (paycheck)! Just pathetic! This is severe defamation of character. Instead of giving me a job at Unicor to save money or the \$500.00 upon release according to 18 USC 3624 they conspired to get me to sign Social Security forms that were blank and then get me on Mental Social Security payments. Please read Exhibit Q, the letter to the Social Security Commissioner for more details on this racketeering fraudulent activity.

Signature Staff Member	Date
------------------------	------

Record Copy - File; Copy - Inmate (This form may be replicated via WP)

This form replaces BP-148.070 dated Oct 86 and BP-S148.070 APR 94



18.055 INMATE REQUEST TO STAFF CDFRM

98

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS

TO: (Name and Title of Staff Member) <b>Officers, Daniels, Helaire and Weeks</b>	DATE: <b>5/20/05</b>
FROM: <b>Kevin A. Wiederhold</b>	REGISTER NO.: <b>89849-079</b>
WORK ASSIGNMENT: <b>Not Available</b>	UNIT: <b>QB 326</b>

SUBJECT: (Briefly state your question or concern and the solution you are requesting. Continue on back, if necessary. Your failure to be specific may result in no action being taken. If necessary, you will be interviewed in order to successfully respond to your request.)

I am requesting the Unit Team obtain a job for me at Unicor or send me to a camp so I can work on the outside in order to save money for my release. I have sent a letter to the Director of the BOP (Mr. Lappin) explaining to him why I need \$500.00 upon release according to 18 USC 3624(d)(2). If there are any forms to fill out concerning 18 USC 3624(d)(2) please notify me immediately. The following is out of the BOP Program Statement.

"Program Statement 8120.02 (345.32(e) and 345.10) states: For Special needs, such as Inmate Financial Responsibility assignment, to assist in paying a significant financial obligation OR FOR RELEASE PREPARATION, the unit team may recommend an inmate for priority placement on the waiting list (Unicor). Such placement must be documented and include the reason for the exception" and "It is the policy of the BOP to provide work to all inmates (including inmates with a disability (left hip/leg), who, with or without reasonable accommodations can perform the essential tasks of the work assignment) confined in the federal institution". Thanks,  
(Do not write below this line)

DISPOSITION: I confronted Helaire and Daniels in the QB Unit on 5/20/05 and tried to give them this cop out. Daniels would not take this cop out and ridiculed and harassed me for trying to get a job at Unicor. I practically had to force Helaire to take this cop out. Daniels would not. Helaire never did answer or sign this cop out. Almost a month later I gave this same cop out to "officer" Weeks. This deserter would only say "I am not giving you a job at Unicor." He would not sign the cop out but delivered the response from Mr. Howell at Unicor (Ex-M) on June 20, 2005. To this day I am not on the ~~signature~~ <sup>Priority</sup> waiting list, against BOP Policy.



BP-148.055 INMATE REQUEST TO STAFF CDFRM  
98

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS

TO: (Name and Title of Staff Member) <i>Mr. Feather</i>	DATE: <i>05/25/05</i>
FROM: <i>Kevin Wiederhold</i>	REGISTER NO.: <i>89849-079</i>
WORK ASSIGNMENT: <i>Not Available</i>	UNIT: <i>QB 326</i>

SUBJECT: (Briefly state your question or concern and the solution you are requesting. Continue on back, if necessary. Your failure to be specific may result in no action being taken. If necessary, you will be interviewed in order to successfully respond to your request.)

*I spoke with you at lunch in regards to a position at facilities, you informed me work was available and to submit this copy out.*

*Thank you  
Kevin*

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

On 5/25/05 later that day I could not get ahold of Mr. Feather. On the 26th and 27th he was off work. Then the weekend (28th and 29th), 30th was a Holiday and finally I was able to contact Mr. Kemp co-worker of Mr. Feather on 5/31/05. Mr. Feather was back in the office on 6/2/05 the day I started there. I informed him of the harassment and defamation by Mr. Daniels and later that afternoon told him that I do not speak to  
(Do not write below this line)

Mr. Daniels at all. Also Mrs. Bell disappeared from the compound shortly after I  
DISPOSED the job with Mr. Feather ?

*[Signature]*  
*5-31-05*  
*GM-5*

Kemp signature

*[Signature]*  
*6-2-05*

Feather signature

Signature Staff Member

Date

-S148.055 INMATE RECORDS  
 EP 99  
 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS

TO: (Name and Title) Beaumont FCI "Department"	DATE: 6/5/05
FROM: Kevin A. [redacted]	REGISTER NO.: 89849-079
WORK ASSIGNMENT: Facilities Administration	UNIT: QB-326

SUBJECT: (Briefly state your question or concern and the solution you are requesting. Continue on back if necessary. Your failure to be specific may result in no action being taken. If necessary, you will be interviewed in order to successfully respond to your request.) I spoke with the Warden on 6/3/05 concerning my 18 USC 552(a) FOIA, cop-out I submitted to him with two other cop-outs and the 11 page letter addressed to Mr. Lappin.

These four documents were in a white envelope and given to the Warden on 5/23/05, but the

Warden stated he did not read the FOIA request and gave all four documents to the "SIS Department". If the SIS Department was doing their job correctly they would have returned the cop-outs to the Warden or given them to your office as the Warden stated on 6/3/05

that all FOIA requests go through the "Legal Department". Under the Freedom Of Information

Act (FOIA) Title 5 USC 552(a), I am AGAIN requesting a copy of the complete "Agency Records" (BOP Central File) including any "Matching Program Records" under 5 USC(8)(A)(i) and any "Medical Records". Furthermore, under 5 USC 552(d)(1) it states: "Upon request by any individual to gain access to his record or any information pertaining to him which is

contained in the system, permit him....a copy of all or any portion thereof....etc. Your office has had enough time since 2001/2002 to falsify and re-falsify documents and it is time you hand this pathetic, defaming, racketeering enterprise material over to me.'

(Do not write below this line) Sincerely, *[Signature]*

Copy to: Inspector Gen, Attorney Gen, BOP Director D.C., Region, etc.

DISPOSITION:

*See [redacted]*

Initially I gave the warden a cop out for records on 5/23/05. I talked to him again on 6/3/05. I then typed this cop out and gave it to him personally. I received a response from "S.K. Long LIE/Legal" attorney? I looked up the BOP Policy statement she/they quotes and at the time I found a section on how Unions can obtain certain inmates records according to (1351.05) At this time that BOP policy statement is not in this manual here but there is a section where the U.S. Probation office can get the defaming "BOP STUDIES". I did send 8 FOIA requests to Washington D.C. on June 11, 2005 and just recently after 2 months got a response but no records. See Exhibit L which also has some very interesting things about Harry Rapkin in Sarasota Florida.

Signature Staff Member

Date

*[Signature]*

6/8/05

Record Copy - File; Copy - Inmate  
 (This form may be replicated via WP)

This form replaces BP-148.070 dated Oct 86  
 and BP-S148.070 APR 94

INMATE REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER  
Kevin A. Wiederhold, Reg. No. 89849-079


FCC Beaumont-Medium

This is in response to your request to obtain a copy of the complete "Agency Records" (BOP Central File) including any "Matching Program Records" and any "Medical Records. Your request can not be processed at the local level and must be submitted to the Central Office in the form of a Freedom of Information/Privacy Act request. The address is:

Director, Federal Bureau of Prisons  
HOLC Room 738  
320 First Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20534

"FOIA/PA Request" must be clearly marked on the envelope. Please ensure that the requested information is clearly identified; date, time, and content if known. Please refer to Program Statement 1351.05, Release of Information, for more detailed instructions on submitting your request.

6/8/05  
Date

  
S. K. Long, LIE/Legal

I did not have enough postage to mail all my FOIA requests seperately so I mailed them to the FOIA Mail Referral Center in D.C. They could have responded much faster that 2 months don't you think? What is this LIE/Legal garbage here?

From: Kevin A. Wiederhold #89849-079  
Federal Correctional Complex  
P.O. Box 26040 QB 325  
Beaumont, TX. 77720

June 11th, 2005

To: FOIA/PA Mail Referral, Justice Management  
U.S. Department Of Justice  
950 Pennsylvania Ave, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20530-0001

Attached checklist and Self-addressed stamped envelope.

Enclosed you will find several FOIA requests to various government agencies. I have informed each agency that your office would photo copy the 11 page letter addressed to Mr. Lappin the Director of the BOP in Washinton, D.C.. I do not have the funds to be able to copy this letter six times nor do I have the stamps to mail such a large package to your office. After you read the 11 page letter you will see how important it is to that each agency receives a copy of this letter.

*Beaumont  
T was  
ST.* It is imperitive that I get as many records as I can as I will be filing in the Supreme Court to Justice Kennedy to expose the racketeering enterprise and to be released from this malicious imprisonment. I have filed in the Supreme Court in April of 2003 but the petition was maliciously denied by a clerk and not the justices ? I know for a fact that Mr. Green et al out here at Beaumont, TX and elsewhere were scared senseless that I was going to get the illegal conviction overturned but a deal was made among some corrupt people to illegally deny my petition at the Supreme Court for the racketeering enterprise. Many corrupt, racketeering people would have been out of a job if the 02-10290 35 page petition would have been allowed to proceed to the Supreme Court Justices including the State Of Florida Judges Harry Rapkin et al.

*sc are  
mediak  
ore I  
To in  
bries* If you recall it was Harry Rapkin who was exposed on national TV by FOX News and The Oreilly Factor in early 2004. I have written both FOX News 13 in Tampa, FL and Carolyn Tuft in St. Lious, MO an excellent 12 page letter explaining how Judge Harry Rapkin et al was/is directly involved in this racketeering enterprise activity. Then how the family who lost there daughter because of Harry Rapkin et al letting a violent offender out of state prison could sue this racketeering enterprise once people are criminally charged for racketeering in various government offices/agencies such as the ones listed in the 11 page letter to Mr. Lappin the Director of the BOP.

*e how  
ruption  
mukite* While at this corrupt prison in 2002/2003 I had a letter stolen, addressed to Paul I. Perez the U.S. Attorney in Tampa, FL which outlined Harry Rapkin et al involvement in the racketeering enterprise. An individual on the outside still has a copy of this letter. I don't believe the letter I placed in this legal mail box to Paul I. Perez ever made it out of this facility, in fact the only way this letter might make it to your office is because Constance Reese et al is not the Warden here. My point is concerning Harry Rapkin et al is that the murder of the 11 year old girl may never have happened if Rapkin et al had been dismissed from the bench for racketeering long before thus the homicide might not have occurred as this felon would have gone before a differant judge and not Harry Rapkin et al. I clearly listed "state judges" in my well done petitions to both the Appellate Court and Supreme Court in 2003. Yes, now the government will have to admit that 18 USC 3059 was repealed because of me in order for this family to be compensated who lost their child because of Harry Rapkin et al. This family will be able to sue all entities (mainly influencial corporate entities) who are desperately clinging to the lunatic federal judges James D. Whittemore et al who in turn are destroying me for the racketeering enterprise. Will the government ever admit what corrupt employees have done and prosecute them ?

*IF, I sign any documents, I will lose and This Family cannot sue!*  
Also, if a 2255 is filed I will lose and so will This Family  
I need injunctions CarT.  
Sincerely,

The Office of FOIA/PA Mail Referral, Justice Management Division has received the following FOIA requests from Kevin A. Wiederhold.

	YES	NO
1. FOIA request to the U.S. Department Of Professional Responsibility. Main Justice Bldg, Room 6150, Washington D.C. 20530	_____	_____
2. FOIA request to U.S. Treasury Department.	_____	_____
3. FOIA request to U.S. Department Of Commerce.	_____	_____
4. FOIA request to U.S. Federal Bureau Of Investigation.	_____	_____
5. FOIA request to U.S. Probation Office.	_____	_____
6. FOIA request to U.S. Federal Bureau Of Prisons.	_____	_____
7. FOIA request to U.S. Court Of Appeals, Atlanta, GA.	_____	_____
8. FOIA request to 12 Judicial Circuit, Sarasota, FL.	_____	_____
9. Eleven page letter to Harvey G. Lappin, Director of BOP	_____	_____
10. The FOIA/PA Referral Division has photocopied the 11 page letter to Harvey G. Lappin and attached it to the FOIA request.	_____	_____
11. Copy of FOIA cop-out and letter from "S.K. Long, LIE/Legal"	_____	_____

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signed Date  
FOIA/PA Mail Referral Division  
U.S. Department Of Justice  
950 Pennsylvania Ave, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20530-0001

Self addressed stamped envelope enclosed



DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE


FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS

TO: (Name and Title of Staff Member) <b>Mr. Howell/Unicor</b>	DATE: <b>5/25/05</b>
FROM: <b>Kevin A. Wiederhold</b>	REGISTER NO.: <b>89849-079</b>
WORK ASSIGNMENT: <b>Not Available</b>	UNIT: <b>QB 326</b>

SUBJECT: (Briefly state your question or concern and the solution you are requesting. Continue on back, if necessary. Your failure to be specific may result in no action being taken. If necessary, you will be interviewed in order to successfully respond to your request.)

I attended the A/O introduction meeting on 5/25/05, you were present and made a presentation for Unicor. During this presentation you outlined various avenues in which an inmate could obtain a position at Unicor. I was one of the inmates who raised a hand to ask a question. This question pertained to obtaining a position at Unicor as a "Pre-Release status inmate", which you said was unfamiliar to you ? The following "Program Statement 8120.02 (345.32(e)) and (342.10(1))" states:

"Hiring 345.32(e). Special needs such as Inmate Financial Responsibility assignment to assist in paying a significant financial obligation OR RELEASE PREPARATION, the unit team may recommend an inmate for priority placement on the waiting list (Unicor). Such placement must be documented and include the reason for the exception". Also, 345.10(1) states: "It is the policy of the BOP to provide work to all inmates (including inmates with a disability (left hip/leg) who, with or without reasonable accommodations can perform the essential tasks of the work assignment) confined in the federal institution". By Cop-out, I have requested the Warden and Unit Team help me (Do not write below this line) obtain a job at Unicor as a Pre-Release Inmate with four or less months to go. Please contact the Warden and Unit Team for further information regarding this matter.

Sincerely,  
  
Kevin A. Wiederhold

DISPOSITION: As you will see BOP Program statements state NO such thing about "NORMAL" hiring practices. Their law only states "exception to being on the priority waiting list". The term "Normal" on the next page is definitely a Mental defective Social Security stunt by everyone here except maybe the asst warden and the warden. Many people obtain a position at Unicor through this Policy Statement, why couldn't I ? As well MR. Howell is lying about not ever hearing about this statement because I know people that got on at UNicor because of this policy statement. I can prove to you court with a racketeering investigator they were/are trying to put me on Social Security as "abnormal" just pathetic!!!

Signature Staff Member

They are mad as hell here because they failed and are caught at their dirty frauds!


Also, they make it look like it was my "intention" to get on Social Security (See next page).

Record Copy - File; Copy - Inmate

(This form may be replicated via WP)

This form replaces BP-148.070 dated Oct 86

I do not know if I have a disability in the left hip/leg, I have never had an MRI done to find out what is wrong. I injured the hip in July 2001 in the Morgan Street jail but noone would admit injury. The officers are not psychiatrists and doctors as well. inmates etc



18.055 INMATE REQUEST TO STAFF CDFRM

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS

TO: (Name and Title of Staff Member) <b>Mr. Howell/Unicor</b>	DATE: <b>5/25/05</b>
FROM: <b>Kevin A. Wiederhold</b>	REGISTER NO.: <b>89849-079</b>
WORK ASSIGNMENT: <b>Not Available</b>	UNIT: <b>QR 326</b>

SUBJECT: (Briefly state your question or concern and the solution you are requesting. Continue on back, if necessary. Your failure to be specific may result in no action being taken. If necessary, you will be interviewed in order to successfully respond to your request.)

I attended the A/O introduction meeting on 5/25/05, you were present and made a presentation for Unicor. During this presentation you outlined various avenues in which an inmate could obtain a position at Unicor. I was one of the inmates who raised a hand to ask a question. This question pertained to obtaining a position at Unicor as a "Pre-Release status inmate", which you said was unfamiliar to you? The following "Progress Statement 8120.02 (345.32(e)) and (342.10(i))" states:

"Hiring 345.32(e), Special needs such as Inmate Financial Responsibility assignment to assist in paying a significant financial obligation OR RELEASE PREPARATION, the unit team may recommend an inmate for priority placement on the waiting list (Unicor). Such placement must be documented and include the reason for the exception". Also, 345.10(i) states: "It is the policy of the BOP to provide work to all inmates (including inmates with a disability (left hip/leg) who, with or without reasonable accommodations can perform the essential tasks of the work assignment) confined in the federal institution" By Cop-out, I have requested the Warden and Unit Team help me (Do not write below this line) obtain a job at Unicor as a Pre-Release inmate with four or less months to go. Please contact the Warden and Unit Team for further information regarding this matter.

Cop-out to:  
Unit Team  
Warden

Sincerely,  
*Kevin A. Wiederhold*  
Kevin A. Wiederhold

DISPOSITION:

Wiederhold!  
I understand your intention. Per policy only the SOI/AW can authorize an exception to normal hiring practices

HERE IS THE KEY WORD RIGHT HERE!! Psychological word not a legal word.

Signature Staff Member <i>Kevin A. Wiederhold</i>	Date <b>10 June 05</b>
--	---------------------------

Record Copy - File; Copy - Inmate  
(This form may be replicated via WP)

This form replaces BP-148.070 dated Oct 86 and BP-S148.070 APR 94

BMMC4  
PAGE 001

PROGRAM REVIEW REPORT

07-13-2005  
19:59:22

INSTITUTION: BMM BEAUMONT MED FCI  
Look not me again (WEID)

NAME.....: WEIDERHOLD, KEVIN  
RESIDENCE...: TAMPA, FL 33602

REG. NO: 89849-079

TYPE OF REVIEW.....: INITIAL CLASSIFICATION/PROGRAM REVIEW  
NEXT REVIEW DATE.....: OCT 2005

**This right here must be stopped**

PROJ. RELEASE DATE...: 10-06-2005  
PAROLE HEARING DATE..: NONE

RELEASE METHOD...: FT REL  
HEARING TYPE...: NONE

DATE OF NEXT CUSTODY REVIEW: \_\_\_\_\_ DETAINERS (Y/N): N

CIM STATUS (Y/N).....: Y IF YES, RECONCILED (Y/N): Y

PENDING CHARGES.....: None Known

OFFENDER IS SUBJECT TO NOTIFICATION UNDER 18 U.S.C. 4042(B) (Y/N).....: Y  
IF YES - CIRCLE ONE - DRUG TRAFFICKING (CURRENT VIOLENCE/PAST VIOLENCE)  
**HERE IT IS**

CATEGORY	CURRENT ASSIGNMENT	EFF DATE	TIME
CMA	PROG RPT	NEXT PROGRESS REPORT DUE DATE	10-06-2005 0930
CMA	RPP REFUSE	RELEASE PREP PGM REFUSES <b>not offered</b>	05-03-2005 0930
CMA	RPP UNT C	RELEASE PREP UNIT PGM COMPLETE	04-24-2005 1621
CMA	V94 COA913	V94 CURR OTHER ON/AFTER 91394	04-24-2005 1622
CMA	V94 CVA913	V94 CURR VIOL ON/AFTER 91394	02-26-2002 0912
CUS	IN	IN CUSTODY	02-15-2005 0956
DRG	DRG I NONE	NO DRUG INTERVIEW REQUIRED	04-24-2005 1622
EDI	ESL HAS	ENGLISH PROFICIENT ?????	10-09-2001 0954
EDI	GED HAS	COMPLETED GED OR HS DIPLOMA	03-18-2002 1402
FRP	PART	FINANC RESP-PARTICIPATES	05-04-2005 1119
LEV	MEDIUM	SECURITY CLASSIFICATION <b>MEDIUM</b>	01-29-2002 1209
MDS	REG DUTY	NO MEDICAL RESTR--REGULAR DUTY	04-27-2005 0900
MDS	YES F/S	CLEARED FOR FOOD SERVICE	04-21-2005 1151
QTR	Q03-334L	HOUSE Q/RANGE 03/BED 334L	06-24-2005 0933
RLG	NO PREFER	NO PREFERENCE <b>Christian</b>	10-09-2001 1236
WRK	GM 5	GENERAL MAINTENANCE 5	06-02-2005 0001

WORK PERFORMANCE RATING: Satisfactory (will explain)

**They try to discredit me with this, My next two work sheets say "outstanding"**

INCIDENT REPORTS SINCE LAST PROGRAM REVIEW: None

FRP PLAN/PROGRESS: TRUST FUND DEPOSITS PAST 6 MO: \$ 19.80 Need injunction  
 FRP PAYMENTS PAST 6 MO: \$ 0 OBLG BALANCE: \$ 75 Asses on this assesment  
 as well.  
 CURRENT FRP PLAN: \$ 25 Quarterly PAYMENTS COMMENSURATE: YES  / NO

I was told "CIM" is a confidential informant. If there is one they are nailed in a racketeering enterprise. I believe it is David Kinder et al. Look at the horrible defamation in this racketeering document "current violence" etc. The liars never called me into a "team meeting" on 5/3/05 as well. I was in the SHU from April 21st until the 4th or 5th of May. The falsification of the records with "HS Diploma on 3/18/2002" is in here (See: Lappin letter), Religious preference in christian. It also says "No medical restrictions because they want to make all past physical injuries into a psych condition (See: PETITION). I have them nailed court, they are pathetic criminals!

BMMC4  
PAGE 002

PROGRAM REVIEW REPORT

07-13-2005  
19:59:22

IF NO, NEW PAYMENT PLAN: Current Account Balance #2.20

RELEASE PREPARATION PARTICIPATION: Rpp Refuse

~~I never refused proper release preparation positive proof of this is all my cop outs in this petition. On the contrary it is THEM who refused release preparation not me.~~

CCC RECOMMENDATION: CCC Denied (They hate me for refusing this).

PROGRESS MADE SINCE LAST REVIEW: Recommended completely wellness & Resumewriting & maintain clear conduct. Inmate stated that he was too busy to program

GOALS FOR NEXT PROGRAM REVIEW MEETING: Enroll into the Wellness program by July 2005 and complete by next review.

They couldn't succeed with the Social Security stunt etc , so now they desperately try the "Wellness Course" which is a underlying psych stunt through Mr. Cunningham the Recreational Supervisor. Also, 2 of the 3 inmates I am in

a TV cell room with work under Mr. Cunningham and have been making derogatory statements and set up statements for Weeks et al, I will call them ~~Smith~~ et al

LONG TERM GOALS: Maintain clear conduct until release <sup>Smith</sup> Smith et al

Now they are trying to make me look like I didn't work on the outside and the BOP straightened me out. I have worked harder than any of these racketeers all my life. I have also probably been through more hardship than any of these racketeers all my life especially the last 13 years. As you can image I am really feed up with these "officers" using corrupt inmates on me. Some of these inmates have never worked in their life at all, but sold drugs, now what kind of "officers" would use people like this to discredit, defame someone when they know I am innocent and worked all my life!! Big lawsuits coming!  
Include Johnson et al as well.

BMMC4  
PAGE 003 OF 003

PROGRAM REVIEW REPORT

07-13-2005  
19:59:22


OTHER INMATE REQUESTS/TEAM ACTIONS: 4107408 Current  
Correct

I dont know what this is here but I am sure it is defamation.

SIGNATURES:

I would be an idiot to sign this.

UNIT MANAGER:



INMATE:

Refused to sign

DATE:

7-20-05

DATE:

7-20-05

Mr. Helaire was coercing me to sign this racketeering document. This is the "Team Meeting" where Daniels lost his mind and began saying all sorts of sick statements as mentioned in the later part of the petition. Something must be done Court. I need injunctions on these BOP "Individuals" here. They are very desperate and they are nailed. Only one more illegal and unconstitutional month to go but it is going to get very dangerous for me in here, these people are sick and they are not nice at all, okay?

Kevin A. Wiederhold #89849-079  
Beaumont Federal Correctional Complex  
P.O. Box 26040 Unit QB (Weeks)  
Beaumont, Texas. 77720

August 14th, 2005

To: Commissioner Jo Anne Barnhart  
Social Security Administration  
International Trade Commission  
Building 500 E, Street, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20254

Dear Mrs. Barnhart,

I am sending this letter in reference to numerous racketeering and fraudulent attempts to unlawfully place me on Social Security (Mental) benefits since 1990/91. These racketeering, fraudulent attempts started in the Courts in Tampa Bay, FL and Sarasota, FL in 1991/92. These racketeering and fraudulent attempts have been orchestrated through a racketeering enterprise and their lawyers and Judges since 1991. The first attempts on paper by lawyers came through Mr. Clark et al of Aetna Insurance in Tampa, FL in 1991, then Mr. McClain et al and Alexander Paderweski et al in 1992/93 (See: State of Florida, Workers Compensation Records Tallahassee, FL).

There were several attempts to force a racketeering enterprise attorney on me to set up the racketeering, fraudulent Social Security benefit starting with Elliotte Metcalfe/Jerry Meisner et al in Sarasota, FL in 1992. This racketeering, fraudulent activity of appointing severely corrupt lawyers to justify a civil attorney fraudulently applying for Social Security (mental) benefits has continued until this day (See: Racketeering enterprise Court Files in Sarasota County: 92-4293CA, 92-3130F, 94-6481MAVOP etc, and Tampa Bay, FL District File: 8:00-CR-369-T-27TGW). I have also clearly explained these racketeering and fraudulent Social Security (mental) benefit activities in the (hidden) Atlanta, GA Appellate Brief 03-11467 and Supreme Court Brief 02-10290 which were filed from April-June 2003.

Now, after I have completely solved this pathetic, racketeering enterprise "case" the desperate, pathetic, racketeering enterprise lunatics have again attempted to place me on unlawful Social Security (mental) benefits through several of their racketeering BOP "Individuals" and even a Unicorn employee. This malicious, defaming, racketeering, fraudulent Social Security attempt/agenda was meant to take place nearing the end of the last 3 months here but it was sped up because I tried to obtain a position at Unicorn starting on 5/20/05 which caused another "individual" to get involved in the defaming, racketeering activity by the name Mr. Howell who is an employee of Unicorn (See: Latest petition to the Beaumont: add:SCt@t).

After July 6th, 2005 the defaming, racketeering and fraudulent activity culminated with Mr. Daniels a sick "counselor" here at Beaumont maliciously and fraudulently attempting to make me sign a Social Security form that had none of my personal and pertinent information on the document. Yes, this "counselor" Daniels made it clear he was going to fill in all the blank spaces that the person receiving the Card would normally fill out unless they were Mentally disabled and incompetent etc. Yes, this "counselor" Daniels made it clear that I was only to sign the blank Social Security document, therefore he was going to place "mental disability" in the Disability Box/Section of the Social Security document. These pathetic employees of the BOP in Rochester, Springfield and Beaumont Medium have and are trying all sorts of racketeering, fraudulent activity and set ups on me since 2001/2002 and it must be

stopped and prosecuted.

I have recently placed a lengthy petition under 28 USC 2241 in the Beaumont District Court which exposes all this racketeering enterprise and fraudulent activity which would maliciously defame me to the Social Security Administration through racketeering, fraudulent BOP employees, lawyers, BOP unions and judges etc. Even though most lawyers (with the exception of Alexander Paderweski) may not have actually filed a fraudulent Social Security (mental) benefit claim, they were/are still covering and protecting these racketeering, fraudulent attempts and therefore still up to the same defaming, racketeering and fraudulent agenda. This has culminated in the latest pathetic, fraudulent, racketeering Social Security (mental) benefit activity by these sick employees here at Beaumont medium FCI.

If a (fraudulent) claim has never been filed in your offices it is because I have never signed any racketeering, fraudulent documents authorizing it nor will I ever sign or give authorization. If your offices ever receive an "authorization" or "application for mental benefits" I am not a part of it and will never sign any Social Security check but will tear it apart and send it back to your offices. If this defaming, racketeering, fraudulent, **Civilly confining** activity is not stopped and prosecuted very soon I will be permanently renouncing my citizenship in the United States and leaving the country.

I have informed the Warden here (Mr. Morris) and his Assistant (Mr. Gravette) and Captain about the harassment, lies, defamation, threats and racketeering coercion to make me sign defaming, racketeering and fraudulent documentation but nothing has happened to the BOP employees perpetrating this outrageous activity. I mention many of the BOP "Individuals" (18 USC 1961(4)) who are directly involved in this pathetic, defaming, racketeering and fraudulent activity in the 2241 Petition to the Beaumont District Court on page 29. A fully typed copy of this petition will be sent to the Supreme Court shortly and will list these same "Individuals" on page 28.

I have been maliciously harassed, defamed, coerced, threatened and set up by the pathetic, lying "Individuals" Mr. Greene et al, Weeks et al, Helaire et al and Daniels et al here at Beaumont and with abusive, defaming statements such as, "We will see you under the bridge Wiederhold"... "You won't sign our Social Security document"... "All you can do is cut grass Wiederhold"... "We will put you in the hole Wiederhold" (after telling the sick lunatics I was turning them into the court and your office). These sick, racketeering "Individuals" are getting desperate, very threatening and dangerous here and I need a racketeering investigator appointed as I have requested in both petitions to the Beaumont and Supreme Court.

Please send a Social Security Card form so I can fill out the document myself and send it to your office. I will give your office an address on the outside where to send the Social Security card when I fill out the form. A copy of this letter will be sent to the Beaumont District Court and Supreme Court as it is listed as an exhibit.

Thank you for your time,  
Sincerely,

  
Kevin A. Wiederhold

BP-S324.052 WORK PERFORMANCE RATING - INMATE CDPRM

OCT 98

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS

Inmate's Name	<b>WIEDERHOLD, KEVIN</b>	Register No.	<b>89849-079</b>	Unit	<b>Q/B</b>
Evaluation Period	<b>6/20/05-7/19/05</b>	Work Assignment	<b>FACILITIES/GM5</b>		

Bonus Justification

Signature and Date of Dept. Head Approval

Route to Dept. Head for Review. Then to Unit Team

Instructions Highlight the best statement in each area. Base your rating on the inmate's overall performance for the rating period--neither the inmate's best day nor worst day--as compared to what is expected of a satisfactory worker in the assignment

**A. QUALITY OF WORK**

- 1. Unsatisfactory. Makes more errors than should for this level of training. Work must be redone.
- 2. Fair. Careless, makes mistakes and does not check work. Should do better work.
- 3. Satisfactory. Makes some mistakes but no more than expected at this level.
- 4. Good. Makes fewer mistakes than most inmates at this level of training. Does journeyman level work.
- 5. Outstanding. Does superior work.

**B. QUANTITY OF WORK**

- 1. Unsatisfactory. Lazy, wastes time, goof off.
- 2. Fair. Does just enough to get by. Has to be prodded occasionally.
- 3. Satisfactory. Works steadily but does not push self.
- 4. Good. Willing Worker. Does a full day's work and wastes little time.
- 5. Outstanding. Drives self exceptionally hard all the time.

**C. INITIATIVE**

- 1. Unsatisfactory. Always waits to be told what to do. Needs help getting started.
- 2. Fair. Usually relies on others to say what needs to be done.
- 3. Satisfactory. Can adapt to changes in routine. Will start work without waiting to be told.
- 4. Good. Can plan own work well. Acts on own in most things. Doesn't wait to be told what to do.
- 5. Outstanding. Has good ideas on better ways of doing things.

**D. INTEREST; EAGERNESS TO LEARN**

- 1. Poor. Shows no interest in job. Regards job as a drag or waste of time.
- 2. Fair. Shows minimal interest but not very eager to learn.
- 3. Satisfactory. Shows average amount of interest. Wants to learn own job but does not put forth extra effort.
- 4. Good. Above-average interest in job. Asks questions about own work and related work. May do extra work to improve skills.
- 5. Outstanding. Eager to master job. Wants to know everything there is to know about it. May read up on own time or volunteer to do things that will improve knowledge.

**E. ABILITY TO LEARN**

- 1. Poor. Has very low aptitude and is very slow to learn. Even when given extra instruction unable to learn, no matter how hard trying.
- 2. Fair. Slow but if tries eventually will pick up the skills. Needs more instructions than most.
- 3. Average. No slower and no faster to learn than most inmates. Requires average amount of instruction.
- 4. Good. Learns rapidly. Good memory. Rarely makes the same mistake twice.
- 5. Outstanding. Very quick to learn. Excellent memory. Is learning much more rapidly than most inmates assigned here. Never makes the same mistake twice.

**F. NEED FOR SUPERVISION; DEPENDABILITY; SAFETY; CARE OF EQUIPMENT**

- 1. Needs constant supervision. If left unsupervised will foul up, get in trouble, or wander off. Undependable.
- 2. Needs closer supervision than most. Not very dependable.
- 3. Average. Can be relied on for certain things but must be supervised by others. Usually prompt and dependable.
- 4. Good. Needs little supervision. Good record of dependability and promptness.
- 5. No supervision required. Completely dependable in all things.

**G. RESPONSE TO SUPERVISION AND INSTRUCTION**

- 1. Poor. Resentful and hostile. May argue with supervisor.
- 2. Fair. Resists or ignores suggestions.
- 3. Satisfactory. Generally does what is told without any fuss.
- 4. Good. No hostility or resentment. Tries to improve.
- 5. Outstanding. Makes a real effort to please the instructor. Does exactly as is told.

**H. ABILITY TO WORK WITH OTHERS**

- 1. Poor. Negativistic, hostile, annoying to others.
- 2. Fair. Doesn't make friends easily. Has some interpersonal difficulties.
- 3. Satisfactory. Gets along OK with most co-workers and is accepted by them.
- 4. Good. Friendly, congenial, helpful, others like to work with.
- 5. Outstanding. Gets along well with everyone. Very popular.

**I. OVERALL JOB PROFICIENCY**

- Based on this inmate's overall performance during this work period, if this inmate was an employee of yours in the community would you:
- 1. Fire or lay off that individual?
  - 2. Transfer the person to a less demanding job at a lower pay scale?
  - 3. Continue to employ the person but without a raise or promotion this time?
  - 4. Raise the person's pay but keep the person at the same job?
  - 5. Promote the person to a more demanding job at a higher pay rate?

**J. GRADES AND PAY**

- 1. Performance Pay (Grade Class) (Highlight one) 1 • 2 • **3** • 4 • M
- 2. Hours of Satisfactory work **165**
- 3. Regular Pay **28.05**
- 4. Bonus Recommended yes  no
- 5. Total Pay **28.05**

Supervisor's Signature	Date
<i>[Signature]</i>	<b>7-13-05</b>
Inmate's Signature	Date
<i>[Signature]</i>	

Inmate \_\_\_\_\_ was requested to sign this rating, but refused citing the following reason:

Staff Witness' Signature	Date



BP-SJ24-052 WORK PERFORMANCE RATING - INMATE CDFRM  
OCT 98

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISON

Inmate's Name: <b>MTEDERHOLD, KEVIN</b>	Register No. <b>#89849-079</b>	Unit <b>QB</b>
Evaluation Period: <b>7/20/05 - 8/19/05</b>	Work Assignment <b>FACILITIES GM/5</b>	

Prison Justification

Signature and Date of Designated Approval

Route to Dept. Head for Review, Then to Unit Team

Instructions: Highlight the best statement in each area. Base your rating on the inmate's overall performance for the rating period--neither the inmate's best day nor worst day--as compared to what is expected of a satisfactory worker in the assignment

**A. QUALITY OF WORK**

- 1. Unsatisfactory. Makes more errors than should for this level of training. Work must be redone.
- 2. Fair. Careless; makes mistakes and does not check work. Should do better work.
- 3. Satisfactory. Makes some mistakes but no more than expected at this level.
- 4. Good. Makes fewer mistakes than most inmates at this level of training. Does journeyman level work.
- 5. Outstanding. Does superior work.

**B. QUANTITY OF WORK**

- 1. Unsatisfactory. Lazy, wastes time, goof's off.
- 2. Fair. Does just enough to get by. Has to be prodded occasionally.
- 3. Satisfactory. Works steadily but does not push self.
- 4. Good. Willing Worker. Does a full day's work and wastes little time.
- 5. Outstanding. Drives self exceptionally hard all the time.

**C. INITIATIVE**

- 1. Unsatisfactory. Always waits to be told what to do. Needs help getting started.
- 2. Fair. Usually relies on others to say what needs to be done.
- 3. Satisfactory. Can adapt to changes in routine. Will start work without waiting to be told.
- 4. Good. Can plan own work well. Acts on own in most things. Doesn't wait to be told what to do.
- 5. Outstanding. Has good ideas on better ways of doing things.

**D. INTEREST, EAGERNESS TO LEARN**

- 1. Poor. Shows no interest in job. Regards job as a drag or waste of time.
- 2. Fair. Shows minimal interest but not very eager to learn.
- 3. Satisfactory. Shows average amount of interest. Wants to learn own job but does not put forth extra effort.
- 4. Good. Above-average interest in job. Asks questions about own work and related work. May do extra work to improve skills.
- 5. Outstanding. Eager to master job. Wants to know everything there is to know about it. May read up on own time or volunteer to do things that will improve knowledge.

**E. ABILITY TO LEARN**

- 1. Poor. Has very low aptitude and is very slow to learn. Even when given extra instruction unable to learn, no matter how hard trying.
- 2. Fair. Slow but if tries eventually will pick up the skills. Needs more instructions than most.
- 3. Average. No slower and no faster to learn than most inmates. Requires average amount of instruction.
- 4. Good. Learns rapidly. Good memory. Rarely makes the same mistake twice.
- 5. Outstanding. Very quick to learn. Excellent memory. Is learning much more rapidly than most inmates assigned here. Never makes the same mistake twice.

**F. NEED FOR SUPERVISION; DEPENDABILITY; SAFETY; CARE OF EQUIPMENT**

- 1. Needs constant supervision. If left unsupervised will foul up, get in trouble, or wander off. Undependable.
- 2. Needs closer supervision than most. Not very dependable.
- 3. Average. Can be relied on for certain things but must be supervised by others. Usually prompt and dependable.
- 4. Needs little supervision. Good record of dependability and promptness.
- 5. No supervision required. Completely dependable in all things.

**G. RESPONSE TO SUPERVISION AND INSTRUCTION**

- 1. Poor. Resentful and hostile. May argue with supervisor.
- 2. Fair. Resists or ignores suggestions.
- 3. Satisfactory. Generally does what is told without any fuss.
- 4. Good. No hostility or resentment. Tries to improve.
- 5. Outstanding. Makes a real effort to please the instructor. Does exactly as is told.

**H. ABILITY TO WORK WITH OTHERS**

- 1. Poor. Negativistic, hostile, annoying to others.
- 2. Fair. Doesn't make friends easily. Has some interpersonal difficulties.
- 3. Satisfactory. Gets along OK with most co-workers and is accepted by them.
- 4. Good. Friendly, congenial, helpful; others like to work with.
- 5. Outstanding. Gets along well with everyone. Very popular.

**I. OVERALL JOB PROFICIENCY**

- Based on this inmate's overall performance during this work period, if this inmate was an employee of yours in the community would you:
- 1. Fire or lay off that individual?
  - 2. Transfer the person to a less demanding job at a lower pay scale?
  - 3. Continue to employ the person but without a raise or promotion this time?
  - 4. Raise the person's pay but keep the person at the same job?
  - 5. Promote the person to a more demanding job at a higher pay rate?

**J. GRADES AND PAY**

- 1. Performance Pay-Grade Class (Highlight one) 1 • 2 • 3 • 4 • M
- 2. Hours of Satisfactory work 126
- 3. Regular Pay \$36.54
- 4. Bonus Recommended:  yes  no
- 5. Total Pay \$36.54

Supervisor's Signature <i>[Signature]</i>	Date 8-16-05
Inmate's Signature <i>[Signature]</i>	Date 8/16/05

Inmate \_\_\_\_\_ was requested to sign this rating, but refused citing the following reason:

Staff Witness' Signature	Date
--------------------------	------